



Long Range Planning Committee
Wednesday, November 5th, 2014
Meeting Minutes

Voting Members

x	Jeffrey Rudolph	x	Zeke Lynch	x	Stephanie Stanley	x	Rudy Lukez
x	Matthew Rogers	x	Kay Dry	x	Bryant Doyle	x	Todd Warnke
x	Katie Fox	x	John Monark]	Michael Maloney	x	Caryn Becker
]	Gail Feeder	x	Brad Geiger	⊗	Jeffrey Francis		
x	Dilpreet Jammu	x	Cindy Barnard	x	Laura Lamb		
x	Karen Zimmerman	x	Shelyce Foster	x	Cassie Meyers		

Non-Voting Members

x	Richard Cosgrove	x	Shavon Caldwell	x	Thomas Tsai	x	Kurt Wolter
x	Kevin Larsen	x	Thomas Mc Millen				

X indicates attendance,] = notification, ⊗ = no notification

**Also in attendance was Mary Wild (FOC Chair), Jana Schleusner (DCSD Director of Finance), Joey Carrasquillo (Anderson Mason Dale Architects), Cathy Bellem (Anderson Mason Dale Architects), and Alan Ford (Alan Ford Architects).*

Call to Order: Long Range Planning Committee Chair Todd Warnke called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room

Approval of Minutes: Todd Warnke asked for any objections or additions to the October 1st minutes. Dilpreet Jammu moved to pass the October minutes. Shelyce Foster seconded. Motion passed.

LRPC Membership/Introductions: The Board of Education approved six new LRPC members. They include:

- Matthew Rogers: Chaparral High School Feeder
- Katie Fox: Legend High School Feeder
- Karen Zimmerman: Ponderosa High School Feeder
- Brad Geiger: Mountain Vista High School Feeder
- Laura Lamb: Douglas County High School Feeder
- Cassie Meyers: Douglas County High School Feeder

Todd Warnke welcomed the new members and the group performed a brief round of introductions.

21st Century Learning PreK-8 Magnet School Design Competition: Rich Cosgrove (DCSD Director of Planning & Construction), Joey Carrasquillo (Anderson Mason Dale Architects), Cathy Bellem (Anderson Mason Dale Architects), and Alan Ford (Alan Ford Architects) presented on the winning concept design for a potential PreK-8 Magnet School in Parker. The winning team included Anderson Mason Dale Architects in association with Alan Ford Architects. The winning entry focused on 21st Century learning environments. The proposed site is along Pine Drive in Parker and would house 1,000 students from PreK to 8th grades. While the project is unfunded at this time for design and construction the conceptual design is intended to support planning for future enrollment growth.

Rich Cosgrove, Joey Carrasquillo, Cathy Bellem, and Alan Ford responded to the following questions from LRPC members regarding the winning design:

Q. Is this design ADA compliant and accessible?

A. Yes

Q. What is the budgetary estimate for this project?

A. 35-40 million

Q. What were the residential buffering and traffic considerations made?

A. Deliberately tried to keep the scale down and tucked portions of the building underground to integrate it with the neighborhood. We were given specific traffic constraints by both Parker and Douglas County that we followed.

Q. Why was this site chosen?

A. The Pine Drive site was chosen since it is in a location and also sized to provide for future capacity needs in the East Planning Area for the PreK-8 grade levels.

Q. Is this the same design that would be used for the needed SPED K-8 identified in the Master Capital Plan?

A. No. Those students have different needs and this would require a unique design.

Q. What are the estimated ongoing maintenance and operations costs?

A. This was not looked at as part of the design competition.

Charter School Update:

At the October 1st meeting, LRPC Vice-Chair Dilpreet Jammu requested that staff give a presentation on Charter schools at the November 5th meeting. Director of Choice Programming, Tom Mc Millen dispersed a Charter status Memo to all LRPC members and gave the following updates regarding Charter schools:

World Compass:

- This Charter is conditionally approved with resolutions. It is currently under contract on 6 acre site near Medved (South of Castle Rock on East side of I-25). The projected enrollment for 2015-16 school year is 748 students (grades K-6) and expanding up to 1,008 students (K-8) in 2017-18. They have documented a minimum of 350 students who intent to enroll. For approval, they will need to have a minimum of 276 student FTEs, a location, a lease agreement, an approved traffic plan, a detailed budget, and a hired school leader/principal.

Global Village Academy:

- This Charter is conditionally approved with resolutions. It is currently under contract on an 8 acre site at Twenty Mile Rd. and Ponderosa. The projected enrollment for the 2015-16 school year is 350 students (K-12) and expanding to 900 students (K-12) at final build-out in 2020. For approval Global Village Academy will need to document a minimum of 300 students who intend to enroll, a minimum of 193 student FTEs, a location, a lease agreement, an approved traffic plan, a detailed budget and a hired school leader/principal.

Other:

- John Adams High School resubmitted their rewritten application in September, off-cycle. The Board of Education may be reviewing the charter application policy to design a policy to address expedited charter application reviews in certain circumstances.
- The new charter application cycle begins with a letter of intent due on March 1, 2015 and a completed application due on March 15, 2015.

Tom Mc Millen, Rich Cosgrove, and Jana Schleusner (DCSD Director of Finance) responded to the following questions from LRPC members regarding Charters:

Q. What are the conditions of approval?

A. Evidence of demand, viable site, sound finance, and governance.

Q. How does the Charter approval process work?

A. The process begins with a conditional approval (based on those items already mentioned). Once staff makes a final recommendation to the Board the Charter has 90 days to meet contractual performance expectations. Staff continues to monitor their budget, PPR, programming, etc. Every 5 years school will need to recharter and staff has option to recommend an alternative, shorter recharter timeline for less experienced Charters.

Q. What are the conditions that would trigger and expedited approval process?

A. A charter application and/or opening timeline may be accelerated if a charter provides evidence of leasehold or ownership in a move-in ready school that is adequate to serve the needs of the student population and has met all other criteria and conditions of approval in the application procedure.

Q. Does the District provide services for Charters?

A. There are certain services that Charters are required to contribute to (ex. SPED services in 1st year of Charter, Infinite Campus, etc.) but for most services (transportation, food services, etc.) Charters are given the option to purchase these services from the District.

Q. How does the District review and consider Charter facility condition?

A. This is built into the lease terms. The owner is responsible for the building if the building or school fails. Most often, a corporation is set up by the Charter Board and they own the building and lease it back to the Charter. The worst case scenario would involve the corporation going bankrupt, the school failing and the District absorbing the building as an asset or liability.

Q. Is capacity a condition of approval?

A. No. We can only do this informally through suggesting locations based on capacity. However, if capacity issues arise, an RFP process allowing Charters to request dedicated school sites has been partially designed. This process would be initiated by DCSD and would call for LRPC review since capacity would be a condition of approval.

Q. Do MLO and PPR dollars follow OOD students attending DCSD Charters?

A. For out of District kids we are paid DCSD PPR, not the PPR of the District from which they reside.

Q. Do we have the ability to flag those Charters that could be in financial trouble and proactively address this?

A. Have a tool looking at this and are working on developing it more. Also mentor and interact with schools regularly. That being said, this is easy with current 12 Charters but as add more to the District we will need set of tools to do this.

MCP Community Outreach:

Zeke Lynch and Caryn Backer briefed the group on the SAC presentations. They reminded members that the presentations were meant to engage attendees and encourage them to attend the Town Halls in January. They also reminded everyone that any questions LRPC members cannot answer should be directed to staff. A final review was done of the 'light' presentation and LRPC members were reminded to e-mail their signed Code of Conduct form and SAC sign in sheets to Shavon.

Next LRPC Meeting: The next LRPC meeting is scheduled for December 3rd, 2014.

Adjournment: Rudy Lukez moved and Shelyce Foster seconded that the meeting adjourn. Motion passed unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 9: 25 pm.